

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/206,329	12/08/98	ZHANG		G .	970663.ORI	
_			7 ([EXAMINER	
QM22/0731 NIKOLAI MERSEREAU AND DIETZ				EVANISKO,G		
820 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE			. [ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	AVENUE SOU S MN 55402-		_	3762	17	
				DATE MAILED:	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/31/01

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)		· <u>····</u>				
		09/206,329	ZHANG ET AL.					
	cincortenen cumuary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		George Evanisko	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IN STATE OF THIS COMMUN	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	une 2001 .						
2a)⊠ T	his action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.								
7)□ Cl	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) <u></u> Cla	aims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application	Papers							
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 19 Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/206329 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

îd

Application/Control Number: 09/206329 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

3. Claims 1-4, 6, 11, 15, 19-22, 24, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haefner et al (5690683). Haefner meets the limitations of the term "reduced capacitance".

4. Claims 1, 2, 16-20, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu et al., 5843136 (or Zhu et al., 6044296).

Zhu (or Zhu) discloses the claimed invention except for the sensing system having multiple independent blanking switches corresponding to independent electrodes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cardiac sensing system as taught by Zhu (or Zhu), with the multiple independent blanking switches corresponding to independent electrodes since it was known in the art that cardiac sensing systems include multiple independent blanking switches corresponding to independent electrodes to allow the system to selectively sense and/or blank signals from different electrodes in the heart.

5. Claims 3-15 and 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Zhu et al.

Zhu et al discloses the claimed invention except for the unipolar or bipolar sensing between atrial electrodes, ventricular electrodes, and case/can electrodes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have unipolar or bipolar sensing between atrial electrodes, ventricular electrodes, and case/can electrodes since it was known in the art that unipolar or bipolar sensing between atrial electrodes, ventricular

Art Unit: 3762

electrodes, and case/can electrodes is used in pacers to sense heart activity and the particular configuration is chosen depending on implantation and sensing of particular heart conditions.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's remarks filed 6/13/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments that Haefner et al do not meet a reduced capacitance of less than 5 microfarads is not persuasive. Haefner's capacitance is below 5 uF, either alone through either capacitor or when the capacitors are combined together (approximately 0.0167 microfarads) and therefore meets the claimed limitations. A terminal disclaimer can only overcome a double patenting rejection and has not overcome the 102 and 103 rejections to Zhu.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/206329

Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Evanisko whose telephone number is (703) 308-2612.

GRE

July 25, 2001

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER